RHIC MAGNET DESIGN STUDY

H. HAHN

(BNL, November 23, 1983)

## RHIC MAGNET DESIGN STUDY

OBJECTIVES:

Reduce Cost

Establish Dependence of cost on aperture, field and unit length

(discontinuities?)

Dipoles and quadrupoles

Decision in March (R&D and construction cost)

New Magnet 12M\$ and 18 months delay (?)

2-in-1 CBA 6M\$ and 6 months delay (?)

METHOD:

Fill tunnel, lower field

Reduce aperture, bunched beams

Use other concepts

FNAL magnet

window frame (super ferric),

SSC

Conventional magnets

Different construction (BBC, HERA magnet)

Single layer CBA quadrupole

FUTURE MEETINGS: R. P. Shutt

## TENTATIVE GUIDELINES FOR RHIC MAGNET

- 2-in-1 physical configuration - Separate magnetic excitation; operate at 2.5:1 ratio 24 - Horizontal beam crossing; spacing cm 4.4 m effective - Magnet Length (CBA) - Field @ 100 GeV 33 kG (higher if lumped trim coils or more quadrupoles for higher transition energy) 20:1 - Field range - Coil id. in arc in. Beam size @ 5 GeV on 6mm "7  $\sigma$ " rule, sagitta, momentum spread Intra-beam scattering 4.6 K - Operating temperature - Probably cold bore in arc (insertions?) - Straight magnets (gain in aperture if curved) - Field quality  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  rms at 2/3 aperture and low field  $10^{-3}$  rms at 1/3 aperture and high field

- Trim Coils (lumped or distributed?)

dipole correctors

quadrupole - separate bus for horizontal and vertical quads sextupole

 $\mathbf{b_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{b_2}$ : if distributed - single layer enough

Skew Quad lumped in insertions Octupole

- Q1, Q2 non standard aperture approximately present size